

United States Patent and Trademark Office

5

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,206	01/20/2006	Valerie Dupouy	284648US0PCT	9519	
22850 7590 11/14/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1794		
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/565,206	DUPOUY, VALERIE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander Thomas	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	ctober 2007.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🔲	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-11 and 17-21 is/are rejected.					
/)∟ 8)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	v alastian vasuivament				
<i>ا</i> ره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-			
		animer. Note the attached Office	; Action of form F10-152.			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/11/06</u> . 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 10/26/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not considered the content of the claims in view of the disclosure in the specification. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not provided any evidence to support this allegation.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 8-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims contain drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rasmussen et al 5,776,580. The reference discloses a sandwich structure in Figure 4D comprising a core 18 with two facing layers 19, 20 wherein the mineral fibers in the core are crimped, i.e. folded, so as to have a V-shaped profile and wherein the tips of the V's are aligned. The facing layers may be metal (see column 2, lines 64-66) and the core may be formed from a plurality of juxtaposed pieces that extend along the length of the facings.

10/565,206 Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-7 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmussen et al 5,776,580. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see the above rejection. However, it does not disclose the claimed properties of the sandwich. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the density of the fibers in the product of the reference in order to provide particular compressive and sheer strengths for a particular end use.
- Rasmussen et al 5,776,580 in view of applicant's acknowledged state of the art. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see the above rejection under 35 USC 102. However, it does not disclose the claimed composition of the glass used to make the fibers. Applicant discloses that these particular compositions were well-known in the art at the time of the invention; see page 7, lines 3-10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any specific glass composition to form the glass fibers of the product in the reference to provide desired properties for a

particular end use in the absence of unexpected results attributable to the fiber composition.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Thomas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794